

٦

Proposal Title :	Strathfield LEP 2012 – Remove local heritage item I175 'Federation house' at 3 Margaret Street, Strathfield from Schedule 5				
Proposal Summary :	Strathfield Council proposes to de-list a local heritage item known as 'Federation house' at 3 Margaret Street, Strathfield (Lot 101 DP 862040). This proposal seeks to amend Strathfield Local Environmental Plan 2012 by removing item I175 from Schedule 5. The planning proposa is the result of a heritage study entitled 'Heritage assessment: Meriden Anglican School for Girls Senior Campus', undertaken by Paul Davies Pty Ltd.				
PP Number	PP_2014_STRAT_002_00	Dop File No :	14/06583		
posal Details	and share show many	and the second second			
Date Planning Proposal Received :	27-Mar-2014	LGA covered :	Strathfield		
Region :	Sydney Region East	RPA :	Strathfield Municipal Council		
State Electorate :	STRATHFIELD	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal		
LEP Type :	Policy				
ocation Details					
Street :					
Suburb :	City :		Postcode :		
Land Parcel : 3 I	Margaret Street, Strathfield (Lot 10	01 DP 862040)			
DoP Planning Off	icer Contact Details				
Contact Name :	Louise Starkey				
Contact Number :	0285754104				
Contact Email :	louise.starkey@planning.nsw.g	ov.au			
RPA Contact Deta	iils				
Contact Name :	Frankie Liang				
Contact Number :	0297489995				
Contact Email :	frankie.liang@strathfield.nsw.g	ov.au			
DoP Project Mana	ger Contact Details				
Contact Name :	Diane Sarkies				
Contact Number :	0285754111				
Contact Email :	diane.sarkies@planning.nsw.go	ov.au			
Land Release Dat					
Growth Centre :		Release Area Name :			
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy ;		Consistent with Strategy	<b>/</b> 1		

		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha) :		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
If No, comment :	The Departments Code of Prace lobbyists has been complied w not aware of any meetings or o planning proposal.	vith to the best of the team's k	nowledge. The Department is
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? ;	Νο		
If Yes, comment :			
Supporting notes			
Notes :	The building, listed as 'Federat LEP 2012, is situated on the ea (Lot 101 DP 862040). The build exhibits aesthetic qualities of t constructed in 1907 and repres sheet describes the house as h quality cottage development at	irls. The school site is zoned ally surrounded by medium de ling to the north east of the si tion house' under item I175 wi stern boundary of the Merider ing is also referred to as Fairh he Federation Queen Style co sented a typical built form of the naving local significance relation	R3 Medium Density nsity development to the east, te is land zoned B4 Mixed-use. thin Schedule 5 of Strathfield n Anglican School for Girls site laven or Youla house, and nstruction. The building was the period. The item inventory ing to its illustration of good
	railway suburb. In addition, the of detail, form and scale. Post 1955, 'Federation house' classrooms for the use of the s significantly altered, originally west. The house is presently s remaining example of garden p currently sits within the wider amenities directly west, and im developments to the north and	e identification sheet details its underwent internal alterations school. The residential setting surrounded by a residential g urrounded by hard stand surfa planting from its original conte context of a school, adjacent f unediately adjoining three sto	s listing is due to its retention , being converted into of the house has been arden and tennis courts to the aces, containing only one ext. In addition, the house to tennis courts and sporting
	of detail, form and scale. Post 1955, 'Federation house' classrooms for the use of the s significantly altered, originally west. The house is presently s remaining example of garden p currently sits within the wider amenities directly west, and im	e identification sheet details its underwent internal alterations school. The residential setting surrounded by a residential g urrounded by hard stand surfa- olanting from its original conte- context of a school, adjacent to mediately adjoining three sto east. appears to have remained rea- enclose the western veranda, onsiders the house does not e significance criteria due to th	s listing is due to its retention , being converted into of the house has been arden and tennis courts to the aces, containing only one ext. In addition, the house to tennis courts and sporting rey residential flat asonably intact; however which is considered to be meet the threshold for local ne cumulative impact of

	to significantly alter the distinct and unique heritage qualities of Strathfield by removing a local heritage item with diminished local significance, as many quality representations of Federation style houses remain within the immediate and surrounding locality. The planning proposal suggests the amendment will facilitate the rationalisation of education facilities for Meriden Anglican School for Girls, leading to a future development proposal to expand sporting and school assembly facilities at the school.
	Council has requested plan making delegations for the planning proposal. Preliminary support from the Office of Environment and Heritage has not been sought by Council in relation to the proposal.
	The Department requested comment from the Office of Environment and Heritage (Heritage Council) on 28 April 2014. The Heritage Council provided formal comments in a letter dated 14 May 2014, advising that should the planning proposal pass through the Gateway, formal consultation should be undertaken with the Heritage Council and that the Heritage Report prepared by Paul Davies Pty Ltd should be placed on exhibition together with the local environmental plan. In addition, the Heritage Council recommends the exhibition should be held for a minimum of four weeks to enable public comment on the planning proposal. In supporting the Planning Proposal to delist the Federation cottage at 3 Margaret Street Strathfield from the heritage schedule of Strathfield Local Environmental Plan 2012, the Heritage Council has advised the Department must be satisfied the delisting of the cottage has been adequately justified by Strathfield Council in order to avoid setting an undesirable precedent.
	The Department considers that the proposal has been justified to proceed to public exhibition. As such, the proposal is recommended to proceed to public exhibition, enabling the matter to be considered by Council and resolved at a local level. Further the proposal is of 'local significance' and Council's request for delegation is supported in this instance.
External Supporting Notes :	The proposal responds to the findings of a heritage study that concludes the local heritage item I175 'Federation House' is no longer worthy of specific heritage listing. The study concludes the item is now of little local heritage significance due to the cumulative impact of alterations to the building and lack of relationship within its current context.
	The proposal does not impact the listing of the entire School site under local heritage item I187 'Meriden School', which will remain listed within Schedule 5 of Strathfield LEP 2012.

### Statement of the objectives - s55(2)(a)

#### Is a statement of the objectives provided? Yes

Comment :

The objective is to remove a local heritage item from Schedule 5 of Strathfield LEP 2012 that is considered to no longer meet the threshold for listing as a local heritage item.

#### Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

Comment :

- The planning proposal provides a clear explanation for the amendment and intended outcome. The proposed outcome will be achieved by:
  - Amending Schedule 5, Part 1 by removing local heritage item 1175
  - Amending Heritage Map (HER 005) by removing label 1175 from Lot 101 DP 862040.

#### Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? No

- b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :
- \* May need the Director General's agreement

3.1 Residential Zones3.3 Home Occupations3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport

	4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils		
	4.3 Flood Prone Land		
	4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection		
	6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes		
	6.3 Site Specific Provisions		
Is the Director General	's agreement required? <b>Yes</b>		
c) Consistent with Standar	rd Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : <b>Yes</b>		
d) Which SEPPs have the	e RPA identified?SEPP No 4—Development Without Consent and Miscellaneous Exempt and Complying Development SEPP No 6—Number of Storeys in a Building SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land 		
e) List any other matters that need to be considered :			
Have inconsistencies with	items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes		
If No, explain :	f No, explain : The proposal is not inconsistent with any SEPP. The proposal is consistent with all relevant s117 Directions; except in relation to s117 Direction 2.3 Heritage conservation. The proposal aims to remove a heritage item from Schedule 5 of Strathfield LEP 2012, however the inconsistency is considered to be of minor significance. S117 Directions are further discussed later in the report.		
Mapping Provided - st	55(2)(d)		
Is mapping provided? Yes			
Comment :	The planning proposal includes the following mapping: a) site locality map; and b) item identification map. The many activity of a degree to the public outpitient.		
	The maps are considered adequate for public exhibition.		
Community consultati	ion - s55(2)(e)		
Has community consultation	on been proposed? Yes		
Comment :	Council proposes that community consultation will be undertaken for 28 days which has been supported by the Office of Environment and Heritage (Heritage Council). However, after considering the nature of the proposal the Department considers the proposal to be of low impact in accordance with 'A Guide to preparing Local Environmental Plans'. It is recommended that the matter requires a community consultation period of a minimum of 14 days. However, as it is considered a matter of local significance the Council are able to exhibit longer than the specified minimum period, if they determine necessary. Additionally, Consultation with the Heritage Council is recommended as a condition of Gateway determination.		
	manife requirements		
Additional Director Ge	eneral s requirements		
	irector General's requirements? <b>No</b>		

#### Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :

#### Proposal Assessment

#### Principal LEP:

Due Date :

Comments in<br/>relation to PrincipalStrathfield Local Environmental Plan was notified on 15 March 2013.LEP :

#### **Assessment Criteria**

Need for planning proposal :	<ul> <li>Strathfield Council submitted the planning proposal in response to a heritage assessment undertaken by Paul Davies Pty Ltd that concludes the item is of little local significance. The study completed an assessment of significance utilising the Heritage Guidelines criteria and has assessed the item as not reaching the threshold of significance, for local heritage listing.</li> <li>The heritage study illustrates the building has diminished significance for the following reasons: <ul> <li>the house has lost its garden setting and lacks relationship to its surrounding hard-stand driveways and parking areas;</li> <li>the house is now isolated from its original contextual setting and surrounded by medium density development, school parking and sporting facilities;</li> <li>the house is in fair to poor condition for rectification of its aesthetic form and detail;</li> <li>the item's integrity is altered and it no longer reaches the threshold of significance under significance criteria (a) – (g).</li> </ul> </li> <li>The study further concludes the building is not worthy of heritage listing and a planning proposal provides the only means for the removal of a local heritage listing is item.</li> </ul>
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	The proposal is consistent with the objectives of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036, the draft Metropolitan Strategy 2031 and the draft Inner West Subregional strategy.
	The planning proposal identifies consistency with the following s117 Directions:
	<ul> <li>3.1 Residential zones;</li> </ul>
	3.3 Home occupations;
	<ul> <li>3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport;</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>4.1 Acid Sulphate Soils;</li> </ul>
	4.3 Flood Prone Land;
	<ul> <li>4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection;</li> </ul>
	6.1 Approval and Referral
	<ul> <li>6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes; and</li> </ul>
	6.3 Site Specific Provisions.
	The proposal is inconsistent with s117 Direction 2.3 Heritage conservation as it aims to de-list a heritage item from Schedule 5. This inconsistency is justified to be of minor significance, as the heritage assessment concludes the item is not one warranting specific heritage listing, with little local heritage significance. The proposal justifies the removal of the heritage item by its isolated nature and collective impact of insensitive alterations overtime.
Environmental social economic impacts :	No adverse environmental, social or economic impacts have been identified as part of this proposal. The current use of the site will remain as an educational establishment. The social impacts from the removal of the listed heritage item will be mitigated by providing a community benefit through the rationalisation of education facilities for Meriden Anglican

School for Girls. In addition, a future proposal to expand sporting and school assembly facilities on the site, has been stated within the heritage study. The site will remain listed under local heritage item I187 within Schedule 5. This will ensure the heads of consideration of any future development application will include assessment of potential heritage impacts under Clause 5.10 of Strathfield LEP 2012.

#### **Assessment Process**

Proposal type :	Routine	.*	Community Consultation Period :	14 Days
Timeframe to make LEP :	6 months		Delegation :	RPA
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) (d) :	Office of Environmen	t and Herit	age	
Is Public Hearing by the	PAC required?	No		
(2)(a) Should the matter	proceed ?	Yes		
If no, provide reasons :				
Resubmission - s56(2)(b	) : <b>No</b>			
If Yes, reasons :				
Identify any additional st	udies, if required. :			
If Other, provide reasons	51			
Identify any internal cons	sultations, if required :			
No internal consultation	n required			
Is the provision and fund	ing of state infrastructur	e relevant	to this plan? No	
If Yes, reasons :				

#### Documents

Document File Name	DocumentType Name	Is Public
Council Letter.pdf	Proposal Covering Letter	Yes
Planning Proposal Part 1.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Planning Proposal Part 2.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Heritage Study Part 1.pdf	Study	Yes
Heritage Study Part 2.pdf	Study	Yes
Heritage Study Part 3.pdf	Study	Yes
Heritage Council Comments 'Federation House' 3 Margaret Street Strathfield .pdf	Study	Yes
Item I175 - 1986 Heritage Inventory Sheet.pdf	Study	Yes
Item 187 - 1986 Heritage Inventory Sheet.pdf	Study	Yes

#### Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

S.117 directions:	<ul> <li>3.1 Residential Zones</li> <li>3.3 Home Occupations</li> <li>3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport</li> <li>4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils</li> <li>4.3 Flood Prone Land</li> <li>4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection</li> <li>6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements</li> <li>6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes</li> <li>6.3 Site Specific Provisions</li> </ul>
Additional Information :	<ul> <li>It is recommended that the planning proposal proceed subject to the following conditions:</li> <li>1. The planning proposal be supported;</li> <li>2. Council be given plan making delegation;</li> <li>3. The planning proposal be considered as routine and exhibited for a minimum period of 14 days;</li> <li>4. A public hearing is not required;</li> <li>5. Consultation is required with the Office of Environment and Heritage (Heritage Council); and</li> <li>6. The planning proposal be finalised within 6 months from a week following the date of gateway determination.</li> </ul>
Supporting Reasons :	<ul> <li>The planning proposal should be allowed to proceed as:</li> <li>the Department considers the matter is of local significance and should be considered by Council at a local level;</li> <li>there are numerous examples of good quality 'federation style' housing listed under Schedule 5 of Strathfield LEP 2012, in the surrounding area;</li> <li>item 1175 sits isolated without a nexus to its current surrounding context;</li> <li>item 1175 has undergone internal and external alterations that have had a cumulative impact on its heritage significance;</li> <li>delisting item 1175 will not negate the existing listing of item 1187 'Meriden School' as a local heritage item within Schedule 5;</li> <li>proceeding the proposal to public exhibition will allow for public opinion through community consultation; and</li> <li>the heritage study concludes the item no longer has local heritage significance under the significance criteria and does not warrant local heritage listing.</li> </ul>
Signature:	Tombis
Printed Name:	DIANE SARKIES Date: 23/5/14

A strand and the second s

આં/ શં/સ્થ